



## **LLG Performance Assessment**

LLG Performance Assessment

Mayuge Town Council

(Vote Code: 236736)

**Score** 79/100 (79%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
-----	---------------------	---------------	-------	---------------

**Assessment area:** A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	2	<p>The LLG has duly constituted WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that WDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY in all Wards as evidenced in KASUGU,KYEBANDO,IKUWE, Kavule with PDM guidelines, PDCs in the above wards are functional and all Quarterly reports i.e. Q1..22/8/2024,Q2..24/03/2025,Q3 AND Q4 ,wards have all minutes kyebando Q1..28/03/2024,Q2..29/12/2024,Q3..20/2/2024,Q4..12/06/2025, ikuwe Q1..28/9/2024,Q2..29/12/2024,Q3..25/02/2025,Q4...13/06/2025 sampled some wards.</p>
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	2	<p>The LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines as dated on 15/08/2022,14/04/2022, from each and other wards</p>
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	0	<p>LLG Has no evidence to prove the mapping of NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in its area of operation a cross entire council</p> <p>The LLG has no evidence to proof that it provided guidance and information to village Executive committees and PDCs on the approved programme/activities as per assessment</p>

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

2

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

LLG has evidence that it provided guidance and information of approved priority enterprise as dated 11/10/2024 for kasugu piped water ,20/07/2025 Ikulwu road opening, 15/07/2025 in kyembando, 27/06/2025 for kavule was per the guidance of the annual work and budget as for demos,field days and competitions among others .

**Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting**

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

0

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

The LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY but no evidence to show its approved development plan IV .

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

0

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY which Incorporated the ranked some priorities from all its respective ward submissions which are duly signed by the town agent and PDC Chairperson like IKULWE ward but other activities where missing from respective wards

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

1

iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0

The LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY as evidenced in the report of the budget conference dated 20/11/2024 succeed all the priorities .

iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0

1

The LLG budget (AWP) fully included all investments to be financed by LLG

		v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	1	The LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III as evidenced in the submission on 1/07/2025 as per format in SDP.
		vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	0	The LLG budget was not submitted to the District on 15th May as per the requirement.
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	2	The LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to CAO as evidenced on submission date of 28/04/2025 as below required date of 30th April of the previous FY
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	2	The LLG prepared and submitted Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines as evidenced in the budget conforms to the DDEG investment Manual
<b>Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration</b>				
7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	1	The LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget as evidenced in the budget totaling to 107,376,586 and actual collection 107,376,586 which is 100% by the LLG
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	1	The LLG has OSR collected increased from previous FY 2023/2024 by 87,031,143/= but one to previous FY by more than 5 % of 2024/2025 by 107,376,586/= coming to 23%

9

The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY

Maximum score 4

Evidence that the LLG:

i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.

The LLG Has evidence that it remitted OSR to the administrative units but it was less compared to what was required, it only gave 5.95million far below the 30% which should have been 22million

Evidence that the LLG:

ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0

The LLG Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY as evidenced in the allowances 12,923,000 which is 14% (unless authority was granted by the Minister)

Evidence that the LLG:

iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0

The LLG Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY as evidenced in the voucher dated 03/02/2025 for repair of printer at 126,000/=

Evidence that the LLG:

iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.

The LLG Publicized the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, as evidenced on 30/06/2025 as displayed on the notice board

#### Assessment area: D. Financial Management

10

The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0

The LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) as evidenced on 28th /08/2025 which is below (i.e., by August 31)

as signed by KIYANJA JALIRU

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

The LLG submitted quarter one financial and physical progress report, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time as evidenced on the PBS report dated 15th /10/2024 and spend 45,880,334/= which is within the timeline

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

The LLG submitted quarter two financial and physical progress report, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time as evidenced on the PBS report dated 15th /01/2025 and spend 45,880,334/= which is with in the timeline

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

The LLG submitted quarter three financial and physical progress report, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time as evidenced on the PBS report dated 15th/04/2025 and spend 58,380,334/= which is with in the timeline

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

3

The LLG submitted quarter four financial and physical progress report, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time as evidenced on the PBS report dated 30th /07/2025 and spend 118,870,936//= which is with in the timeline

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

**Assessment area:** E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0

2

The Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY 2024/2025 (by 30th June) as evidenced by some of the names in the personal files given by the HRM of the town council MWESIGWA DANIEL,NALUBANGA JALIA, NABULIME LOY and others

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0

2

The Town Clerk appraised head teachers in the LLG of the previous FY 2024/2025 (by 31st December) as evidenced by some of the names in the personal files given by the HRM of the town council this include MR. KIWANWA HARITI MOSES, Mr. LUBENGA JENIPOR and NAHIDOLWA FLORENCE

	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	2	The town clerk didn't appraise because its not applicable in Mayuge town council, the town has HCIV instead
	(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else		
13	Staff duty attendance		
	Evidence that the LLG has		
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	3
			The LLG has Publicized the list of LLG staff on the notice board as evidenced
	Evidence that the LLG has		
	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	3	The LLG has Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC as evidenced in reports dated 3rd /08/2025

**Assessment area:** F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	2	The LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines as evidenced by procuring labtops, security lights and these fall under the list in DDEG
	Maximum score is 2			
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	2	The LLG executed the budget in the previous FY which does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10% as evidenced by
	Maximum score is 2			
				Budgeting for 37million , actual 35million
				finance 58million actual 59million
				statutor actual
				41780,000/= 41,407,000/= where overall diviation was -7%

16	<p>Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :</p> <p>If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3</p> <p>If 70% -90%: Score 2</p> <p>If less than 70 %: Score 0.</p>	<p>0</p> <p>The LLG has no investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :</p> <p>If more than 90 % was completed no evidence to show the progress as per assessment</p>
----	---	--	---

**Assessment area:** G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	<p>The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>0</p> <p>The LLG has no evidence to prove that it carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects,</p>
18	<p>The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0</p> <p>(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>1</p> <p>The LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices as evidenced by case management book , grievance mechanism and responses, minutes and appointment letters dated 13th /7/2022</p> <p>1</p> <p>The LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress as evidenced on the town council notice board.</p>
19	<p>The LLG has a functional land management system</p> <p>Maximum score 1</p>	<p>If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>1</p> <p>The LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land evidenced by the appointment letters dated 13th/07/2022 and Minutes dated 11/2/2025,17/12/2024,16/4/2024,19/11/2024,; 9/4/2024</p> <p>inspection reports :- 2/1/2025,2/6/2025,18/3/2025,11/2/2025,13/2/2025</p>



**Assessment area:** H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	The LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery as evidenced in the report dated 8th/08/2025 report on parents sensitization
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 - 99% - score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	4	The LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY done 100% in the monitoring repots dated 30th/10/2024 first term, 26th/02/2024 term two, 23rd/08/2024 term three  summary  30th/10/2024..... term III  26th/02/2024.....term I  23rd/08/2024 ....term II
22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	3	The LLG has functional school management committees in all schools as evidenced in KYENBANDO P/S SMS dated 1/07/2025, 15th /03/2025  and IKULWE P/S SMC dated 26th/05/2025

**Assessment area:** I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	The LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery as it was evidenced in sold waste awareness dated 30/05/2025, and political leaders and waste handling contracts on 30th/03/2025, health education at Mayuge HCIV on 31/03/2025
----	--	---	---	---

24	<p>The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY ,</p> <p>score 4 or else score 0</p>	4	<p>LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY as evidenced in monitoring reports dated 30/10/2024 on service delivery, and reports on OPD attendance dated 30/10/2024,9/12/2024,20/06/2025 delivery on immunization,data capture and reporting on HIV for quater four</p>
25	<p>Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0</p>	3	<p>The LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG as evidenced in appointment letters dated 13/07/2022</p> <p>and HUMC minutes dated 28/8/2024,22/11/2024,13/3/2025,and 15/5/2025</p>

**Assessment area:** K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	<p>Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 2</p>	<p>(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0</p>	0	<p>The LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted and given appointments dated 1st/02/2024 (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and but evidence to show that it has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD</p>
		<p>(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:</p> <p>20% in 2022/23</p> <p>30% in 2023/24</p> <p>40% in 2024/25</p>	0	<p>The LLG has no evidence to prove the detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage as below 20% in 2022/23,30% in 2023/24,40% in 2024/25</p>

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0	0	The LLG has no evidence to prove all infrastructure investments implemented in them pervious FY as per physical development plan and its certificate
		(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY evidenced on 25th/09/2025
		(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG has a functional Development Control Team as evidenced by appointment letters dated 02/02/2024
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	0	The LLG has no evidence to show the prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY
		(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	0	The LLG has no evidence to show that it conducted awareness campaign on the management of solid waste during the previous FY
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0	0	The LLG has no evidence to show the prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report
		(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0	0	The LLG has no evidence to show prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey
		(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0	0	The LLG has no evdence to show that it spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M

34	<p>Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	2	<p>The LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office as evidence on quarter report on PDM sweat capital dated 18/11/2024</p>
35	<p>Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	2	<p>The LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office as evidenced in a training reports on cost analysis for poultry, piggery and dairy farmers dated 13/11/2024, 24/08/2024</p>
36	<p>The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	2	<p>The LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office as evidenced on disease surveillance report dated 7/4/2025,18/11/2024,20/6/2025, and farm vists reports dated 17/4/2025,16/4/2025,15/7/2024,4/10/2024</p>

37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office as evidenced in the training programme dated 13/11/2024, treatment dated 10/10/2024, 19/12/2024
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office as evidenced on treatment report 1st/11/2024, 28/11/2024 pasture establishment and 18/10/2024 advise on poultry house construction on midina waiswas home in KYEBANDO ward